arXiv:1208.3696v1 [math.RT] 17 Aug 2012

WREATH MACDONALD POLYNOMIALS AND CATEGORICAL MCKAY CORRESPONDENCE

ROMAN BEZRUKAVNIKOV, MICHAEL FINKELBERG

Abstract. Mark Haiman has reduced Macdonald positivity conjecture to a statement about geometry of the Hilbert scheme of points on the plane, and formulated a generalization of the conjectures where the symmetric group is replaced by the wreath product $\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^n$. He has proven the original conjecture by establishing the geometric statement about the Hilbert scheme, as a byproduct he obtained a derived equivalence between coherent sheaves on the Hilbert scheme and coherent sheaves on the orbifold quotient of $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$ by the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

A short proof of a similar derived equivalence for any symplectic quotient singularity has been obtained by the first author and Kaledin [3] via quantization in positive characteristic. In the present note we show the properties of the derived equivalence which imply the generalized Macdonald positivity for wreath products.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Localization of modules over the invariants in the Weyl algebra 3
2.1. Notations 3
2.2. Lagrangian components 4
2.3. GL localization 5
2.4. BFG localization 5
2.5. Localization of irreducible modules in category $\mathcal{O}$ 6
2.6. Comparison of orders 7
2.7. Localization of the family of Cherednik algebras 7
2.8. Supports 8
2.9. Procesi bundle 9
2.10. Proof of Theorem 1.1 10
2.11. Wreath Macdonald polynomials 10
3. Appendix 1: Equivariant structure on rigid vector bundles 11
3.1. Rigid vector bundles 11
4. Appendix 2: On shift functors for cyclotomic Cherednik algebras 12
4.1. Properties of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ 13
4.2. Properties of $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ 14
References 15

1. Introduction

The celebrated Macdonald positivity conjecture asserts that the entries of the matrix expressing the transformed Macdonald polynomials via Schur polynomials have non-negative coefficients.

The following approach to the conjecture was suggested by M. Haiman.

Let $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ be the Hilbert scheme of n points on the plane. The action of the dimensional torus $T = \mathbb{G}_m^2$ on $\mathbb{A}^2$ induces an action of T on $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)$. Let Λ be the ring of symmetric polynomials. According to Haiman's program, one can identify the space $\Lambda[q^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}]$ appearing in Macdonald positivity conjecture with the Grothendieck group $K^0(Coh^T(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)))$ in such a way that the modified Macdonald polynomials correspond to classes of sky-scrapers at the fixed points of T, while Schur functions correspond to classes of indecomposable summands components of the *Procesi bundle*. The latter is a certain vector bundle on $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ of rank $n!$ carrying an action of the symmetric group and a compatible action of the ring of polynomials in $2n$ variables. An explicit construction of this bundle is the crucial and laborious ingredient in Haiman's work. As a byproduct of this construction Haiman obtained an equivalence of derived categories:

$$D^b(Coh(\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2))) \cong D^b(Coh^{\mathfrak{S}_n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n})) \tag{1.1}$$

and an isomorphism between $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ and the Hilbert quotient of $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$ by the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

The existence of a rank $n!$ bundle with an action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and of the polynomial ring which induces a derived equivalence (1.1) has been proven in another, shorter way in [3] via quantization in positive characteristic.

The goal of the present note is to demonstrate that the information provided by [3] is sufficient to deduce positivity conjecture, bypassing the explicit construction of Procesi bundle. [1] Furthermore, Haiman [11] has suggested an extension of the conjecture to the setting where $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is replaced by the wreath product $\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^n$. We also deduce that previously unknown conjecture.

Let us formulate our result in more detail. We consider the cyclic quiver Q with the set of vertices $I = \{i_0, \dots, i_{r-1}\} = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. Our basic field k will be either $\mathbb{C}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Given I-graded vector spaces W, V of dimension vectors $\lambda_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, $\nu = (v_0, \dots, v_{r-1})$, we consider the Nakajima quiver varieties $\mathfrak{M}(W, V) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{M}_0(W, V)$ over k. It is well known (see e.g. [11, Section 7.2.3] or [9, Lemma 7.8]) that $\mathfrak{M}_0(W, V) \cong \mathbb{A}^{2n}/\Gamma_n$ where Γ_n is the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$, and n is defined as follows. Let δ stand for the dimension vector $(1, \dots, 1)$. Then we have a unique decomposition $\nu = \nu_0 + n\delta$ where ν_0 is the content vector of a certain r-core partition. Moreover, $\mathfrak{M}(W, V) \cong Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$, where $Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$ is a certain connected component of the fixed point set $(\mathrm{Hilb}^m(\mathbb{A}^2))^\Gamma$ of a Hilbert scheme of points on $\mathbb{A}^2$. Here $m = v_0 + \ldots + v_{r-1}$, and $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, π is a symplectic semismall resolution of singularities.

---

[1] Notice however that we do not propose an alternative proof of the isomorphism between $\mathrm{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)$ and the Hilbert quotient of $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$. Existence of such an isomorphism is equivalent to the fact that each fiber of the rank $n!$ vector bundle is generated by an $\mathfrak{S}_n$ invariant vector under the action of the polynomial ring, we do not know how to deduce this property by our methods.

According to the result of [3] we have an equivalence of derived categories $D^b(Coh(Y_{\Gamma,\nu})) \cong D^b(Coh^{\Gamma_n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n}))$ provided that characteristic of k is zero or sufficiently large. Let $\mathcal{E} \in D^b(Coh(Y_{\Gamma,\nu}))$ be the image of $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n] \in Coh^{\Gamma_n}(\mathbb{A}^{2n})$ under the equivalence constructed in [3]. Then it is shown in *loc. cit.* that $\mathcal{E}$ is a vector bundle. It automatically carries an action of Γ_n, thus it can canonically be written as $\mathcal{E} = \oplus \rho \otimes \mathcal{E}_\rho$ where ρ runs over the set of irreducible representations of Γ_n and $\mathcal{E}_\rho$ is a vector bundle on $Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$.

Furthermore, $\mathcal{E}$ carries an action of the polynomial algebra $\mathsf{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n] = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{A}^{2n})$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_\rho$ be the image of $\mathcal{E}_\rho$ in the sheaf of coinvariants $\mathcal{E}/(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i\mathcal{E})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}'_\rho$ be the image of $\mathcal{E}_\rho$ in $\mathcal{E}/(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} y_i\mathcal{E})$.

Our main result is

Theorem 1.1. *If $\rho = \rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ for a multi-partition $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, then the set of T-fixed points in the support of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_\rho$ consists of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and some $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ satisfying $\boldsymbol{\mu} \preceq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$, while the set of T-fixed points in the support of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}'_\rho$ consists of $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and some $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ satisfyying $\boldsymbol{\mu} \succeq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$.*

Here we used notations and conventions introduced below in subsection 2.2.

The proof of the Theorem appears in subsection 2.10. The next statement follows from Corollary 2.8.

Corollary 1.2. *Conjecture* [11, 7.2.19] *holds.*

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to V. Ginzburg and I. Gordon for useful discussions. This work was conceived when the authors enjoyed the hospitality and support of IAS at HUJI in the Fall 2010. M.F. was partially supported by the RFBR grant 12-01-00944, the National Research University Higher School of Economics' Academic Fund award No.12-09-0062 and the AG Laboratory HSE, RF government grant, ag. 11.G34.31.0023. This study comprises research findings from the "Representation Theory in Geometry and in Mathematical Physics" carried out within The National Research University Higher School of Economics' Academic Fund Program in 2012, grant No 12-05-0014. R.B. was supported by NSF grants.

2. Localization of modules over the invariants in the Weyl algebra

2.1. **Notations.** From now on we assume that in case $\mathsf{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, the characteristic p is bigger than $|\Gamma_n|$. In that case, for an algebraic variety X over k we will denote by $X^{(1)}$ its Frobenius twist, and by $\mathrm{Fr}: X \to X^{(1)}$ the Frobenius morphism. Note that in the presentation $\mathfrak{M}_0(W, V) \cong \mathbb{A}^{2n}/\Gamma_n$ the vector space $U = \mathbb{A}^{2n}$ carries a Γ_n-invariant symplectic form ω. It gives rise to the Weyl algebra W_k acted upon by Γ_n. According to [3, Lemma 6.1], for large p the $\mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k}^{\Gamma_n} - \mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k} \rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$-bimodule W_k gives rise to the Morita equivalence $\mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k}^{\Gamma_n} \sim \mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k} \rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$. We will denote $Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$ by Y for short, and if we want to stress the base field, we will denote it by Y_k.

In case $\mathsf{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, the Frobenius twist $Y^{(1)}$ carries a sheaf O of Azumaya algebras such that $H^i(Y^{(1)}, \mathsf{O}) = 0$ for $i > 0$, and $H^0(Y^{(1)}, \mathsf{O}) = \mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k}^{\Gamma_n}$ ([3, Lemma 6.2]). From the point of view of [5], O is the localization of the spherical subalgebra of the symplectic reflection algebra H_0 of (Γ_n, U) (rational Cherednik algebra) for the zero value of parameters.

We choose a Γ_n-invariant Lagrangian vector subspace $L \subset U$. We will denote the categorical quotient $L/\Gamma_n \subset V/\Gamma_n$ by $\mathfrak{L}_0 \subset \mathfrak{M}_0(W,V)$. We will denote the scheme-theoretic preimage $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}_0) \subset \mathfrak{M}(W,V) = Y$ by $\mathfrak{L} \subset Y$. The completions of our schemes along their subschemes will be denoted like $\widehat{Y}_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Note that the dilation action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathrm{Hom}(V_i, V_{i\pm1})$ descends to the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on $\mathfrak{M}_0(W,V)$, and then lifts to the $\mathbb{G}_m$-action on Y.

Lemma 2.1. *a) The Azumaya algebra* W_k *splits* Γ_n*-equivariantly on* $\widehat{U}^{(1)}_{L^{(1)}}$*;*

b) The Azumaya algebra O *splits on* $\widehat{Y}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}}$*.*

c) The splitting bundles in (a) and (b) can be equipped with a $\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}$ *equivariant structure.*

Proof (a,b) are shown in [3], (c) follows from Appendix 1 (Section 3). □

Now we have the following chain of equivalences of derived categories, cf. [3, Remark 6.8 and the proof of Theorem 6.7]:

$$D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}}(Y^{(1)}) \cong D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}}(\widehat{Y}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}}) \cong D^b(\mathsf{O}|_{\widehat{Y}^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}}} - \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}}) \xrightarrow{\sim}$$
$$D^b(\mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k}^{\Gamma_n}|_{\widehat{\mathfrak{M}}_0(W,V)^{(1)}_{\mathfrak{L}_0^{(1)}}} - \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}}) \cong D^b(\mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k} \rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]|_{\widehat{U}^{(1)}_{L^{(1)}}} - \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}}) \cong$$
$$D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}\times\Gamma_n}(\widehat{U}^{(1)}_{L^{(1)}}) \cong D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}\times\Gamma_n}(U^{(1)}) \tag{2.1}$$

Here the third equivalence is given by the functor of global sections. We denote the composed equivalence $D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}\times\Gamma_n}(U^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m^{(1)}}(Y^{(1)})$ by Φ_k. We will keep the same name for its deequivariantization $D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\Gamma_n}(U^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b\mathrm{Coh}(Y^{(1)})$.

Given an irreducible $\mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$-module ρ, we denote by $\mathcal{F}_\rho$ the coherent sheaf $\Phi_\mathsf{k}(\mathcal{O}_{L^{(1)}} \otimes \rho)$.

2.2. **Lagrangian components.** We will need another action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on Y: the hyperbolic one, preserving the symplectic form on Y. To distinguish it from the dilation action above, we will denote this copy of $\mathbb{G}_m$ by $\mathbb{G}_m^h$. So if a point y of Y is represented by a quadruple $(B_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_i, V_{i+1}),\ B'_i \in \mathrm{Hom}(V_i, V_{i-1}),\ x_0 \in V_0,\ x_0^* \in V_0^*)$, and $c \in \mathbb{G}_m^h$, then cy is represented by $(cB_i, c^{-1}B'_i, x_0, x_0^*)$.

We now fix a Lagrangian linear subspace $L \subset U$ given by the condition of nilpotency of the compositions of B_i's. According to [11, Proposition 7.2.18] or [9, Lemma 5.1], the $\mathbb{G}_m^h$-fixed points $Y_{\Gamma,\nu}^{\mathbb{G}_m^h}$ are naturally labeled by r-partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(r)})$ of total size $|\boldsymbol{\mu}| = \sum_i |\mu^{(i)}| = n$ (recall that $\nu = \nu_0 + n\delta$). We will abuse notation by using the same letter for a multi-partition and for the corresponding fixed point.

Now the Lagrangian subvariety $\mathfrak{L} \subset Y$ is a union of locally closed Lagrangian subvarieties $\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ labeled by the set $\mathcal{P}(r,n)$ of r-partitions of total size n. Each piece $\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is isomorphic to the affine space $\mathbb{A}^n$, and is defined as the attracting set of the corresponding $\mathbb{G}_m^h$-fixed point. We define $\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ as the closure of $\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. I. Gordon [9, Section 5.4] has defined a partial order on $\mathcal{P}(r,n)$ generated by the rule $\boldsymbol{\mu} \prec \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ if $\boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cap \mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover, he has computed this order combinatorially in [9, Sections 6,7]. In order to describe it recall the bijection τ_{s} [9, 6.2], [11, 7.2.17] between the set $\mathcal{P}(r,n)$ of multipartitions, and the set $\mathcal{P}_{\nu_0}(|\nu_0|+rn)$ of usual partitions of size $|\nu_0|+rn$ having r-core ν_0. Then according to [9, Proposition 7.10], we have $\boldsymbol{\mu} \prec \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ iff ${}^t\tau_{\mathsf{s}}({}^t\boldsymbol{\mu}) \lhd {}^t\tau_{\mathsf{s}}({}^t\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, that is, one transposed partition dominates the other.

2.3. **GL localization.** In this subsection we recall some results of [6], [8], [13]. We denote by $R(Q,\nu)$ the vector space $V_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}(V_i,V_{i+1})$, and we denote by $R(DQ,\nu)$ the cotangent vector space $R(Q,\nu)\oplus R(Q,\nu)^*$ (representations of the double quiver). We denote by $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)$ the Weyl algebra of the symplectic vector space $R(DQ,\nu)$, that is the algebra of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu) := \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(R(Q,\nu))$. The symplectic action of $G_\nu = \prod_{i\in I} GL(V_i)$ on $R(DQ,V)$ gives rise to the map $\tau:\ \mathfrak{g}_\nu := \operatorname{Lie} G_\nu \to \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)$. For $\chi = (\chi_i)_{i\in I}$ such that $\chi\cdot\nu := \sum_{i\in I}\chi_i v_i = 0$ we set $\tau_\chi(\xi) := \tau(\xi) - \sum_{i\in I}\chi_i \operatorname{Tr}\xi_i$ where $\xi = (\xi_i)_{i\in I}\in\mathfrak{g}$.

We also define $\mathcal{X} := \mathbb{P}(V_0)\times\prod_{i\in I}\operatorname{Hom}(V_i,V_{i+1})$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X},\kappa)$ stand for the ring of differential operators on $\mathcal{X}$, twisted by $\kappa\in\mathsf{k}$ along $\mathbb{P}(V_0)$. We denote by PG_ν the quotient of G_ν by $\mathbb{G}_m$ diagonally embedded into the product of centers of $GL(V_i)$. The natural action of PG_ν on $\mathcal{X}$ gives rise to the map $\bar\tau_\chi\ :\ \mathfrak{pg}_\nu := \operatorname{Lie} PG_\nu \to \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X},\kappa)$. The quantum Hamiltonian reduction $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)/\!\!/\!\!/_\chi G_\nu = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)/\!\!/\!\!/_\chi G_\nu := (\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)/\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)\cdot\tau_\chi(\mathfrak{g}_\nu))^{G_\nu}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X},\kappa)/\!\!/\!\!/_\chi PG_\nu := (\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X},\kappa)/\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X},\kappa)\cdot\bar\tau_\chi(\mathfrak{pg}_\nu))^{PG_\nu}$. For certain $\chi(\nu)\in\mathbb{Q}^I$, we have a canonical isomorphism $\eta:\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X},n\chi(\nu)_0)/\!\!/\!\!/_{\chi(\nu)}PG_\nu \cong \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)/\!\!/\!\!/_{\chi(\nu)}G_\nu \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\Gamma_n}$. In case $\nu_0 = 0$, that is $\nu = n\delta$, this isomorphism is proved in [8, Theorem 1.4], [13, Theorem 6.2.1]; in particular, $\chi(n\delta)_0 = \frac{1-r}{r}$, while $\chi(n\delta)_i = \frac{1}{r}$ for $i\neq 0$. For general ν this isomorphism follows from the argument in [13, Section 6.3].

According to [6, Section 7], we have the Hamiltonian reduction functor $\mathbb{H}:\ \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X},n\chi(\nu)_0)-\mathrm{Mod}\to\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\Gamma_n}-\mathrm{Mod}$, $M\mapsto M^{\mathfrak{pg}_\nu}$ which realizes the latter category as the quotient of the former one by a Serre subcategory.

2.4. **BFG localization.** We will recall the notations and results of [4, Section 3]. For any algebraic variety X over $\mathsf{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ we denote by $X^{(1)}$ its Frobenius twist, and we denote by $\mathrm{Fr}:\ X\to X^{(1)}$ the Frobenius morphism. For a connected linear algebraic group A over k we have an exact sequence of groups $1\to A_1\to A\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fr}}A^{(1)}\to 1$ where A_1 stands for the Frobenius kernel. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of A is equipped with a natural structure of p-Lie algebra, and its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{a})$ contains the p-center $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$. We denote by $\mathbb{X}^*(\mathfrak{a})$ the lattice of characters of $\mathfrak{a}$ of the type $\chi = \operatorname{dlog} f$ where $f:\ A\to\mathbb{G}_m$ is an algebraic character of A. For such a character χ we denote by I_χ the kernel of the corresponding homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{a})\to\mathsf{k}$. We set $I_\chi^{(1)} := I_\chi\cap\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$, a maximal ideal of $\mathfrak{Z}(\mathfrak{a})$. Note that $I_\chi^{(1)} = I_0^{(1)}$ (see [4, (3.2.4) and 3.3]). We denote by $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{a})$ the quotient of $U(\mathfrak{a})$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $I_\chi^{(1)} = I_0^{(1)}$. The image of I_χ in $\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{a})$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{i}_\chi\subset\mathfrak{u}(\mathfrak{a})$.

Now we take $A = G_\nu = \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}} GL(V_i)$, and we denote its Lie algebra by $\mathfrak{g}_\nu$. The p-center $\mathfrak{Z}_\nu$ of the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)$ equals $\mathsf{k}[R(DQ,\nu)^{(1)}]$. Thus we may localize the $\mathfrak{Z}_\nu$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)$ to $R(DQ,\nu)^{(1)}$ and view it as a sheaf of algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)^{(1)}$. We have the moment map $\mu^{(1)}: \ R(DQ,\nu)^{(1)} \to [\mathfrak{g}_\nu^*]^{(1)}$. The quotient $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k},\chi}(\nu)^{(1)} := \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)^{(1)}/(\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)^{(1)}\cdot I_\chi^{(1)})$ is just the restriction of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)^{(1)}$ to the scheme-theoretic zero-fiber $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1)}$ of the moment map (and is independent of $\chi \in \mathbb{X}^*(\mathfrak{g}_\nu)$). We consider $\mathcal{E}_\chi := (\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k},\chi}(\nu)^{(1)}/\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k},\chi}(\nu)^{(1)}\cdot \mathfrak{i}_\chi)^{G_{\nu,1}}$: a $G_\nu^{(1)}$-equivariant sheaf on $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1)}$.

We restrict $\mathcal{E}_\chi$ to the open subset $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1),s} \subset \mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1)}$ of stable points. The action of $G_\nu^{(1)}$ on $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1),s}$ is free; the projection $\mathrm{pr}: \ \mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1),s} \to \mathfrak{M}(W,V)^{(1)}$ is a $G_\nu^{(1)}$-torsor. We set

$$\mathcal{A}_\chi := \mathrm{pr}_*(\mathcal{E}_\chi|_{\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1),s}})^{G_\nu^{(1)}}, \text{ and put } \mathsf{A}_\chi := \Gamma(\mathfrak{M}(W,V)^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_\chi). \tag{2.2}$$

Similarly to [4, Section 7], we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathsf{A}_\chi \cong \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)/\!\!/\!\!/_\chi G_\nu = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)/\!\!/\!\!/_\chi G_\nu$. We have $\mathcal{A}_{\chi(\nu)} \cong \mathsf{O}$ of 2.1.

If we choose another stability condition ζ (from an open chamber, i.e. not on a wall) in the construction of $\mathfrak{M}(W,V)$, we obtain another quiver variety $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(W,V)$ with a sheaf of Azumaya algebras $\mathcal{A}_\chi^\zeta$ on $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(W,V)^{(1)}$ such that $\Gamma(\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(W,V)^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_\chi^\zeta) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\nu)/\!\!/\!\!/_\chi G_\nu$. If $V = V^{n\delta}$, i.e. $\dim V_i = n$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$, for an appropriate choice of the stability condition we have $\mathfrak{M}_\zeta(W,V^{n\delta}) = Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$. Then, as discussed in Section 2.3, $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\Gamma_n} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(n\delta)/\!\!/\!\!/_{\chi(n\delta)} G_{n\delta} = \Gamma(Y_{\Gamma,\nu}^{(1)}, \mathcal{A}_{\chi(n\delta)}^\zeta)$.

Moreover, given a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X}, n\chi(\nu)_0)$-module M, the Hamiltonian reduction as above gives rise to the $\mathcal{A}_{\chi(\nu)}$-module $\mathcal{H}(M)$.

2.5. **Localization of irreducible modules in category $\mathcal{O}$.** If $\mathsf{k} = \mathbb{C}$, all the irreducible modules over $\mathsf{W}_{\mathbb{C}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_n]$ in the category $\mathcal{O}$ are of the form $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := \mathbb{C}[L] \otimes \rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ where $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is an r-multipartition of n, and $\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is the corresponding irreducible $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma_n]$-module. Under the Morita equivalence of $\mathsf{W}_{\mathbb{C}} \rtimes \mathbb{C}[\Gamma_n]$ and $\mathsf{W}_{\mathbb{C}}^{\Gamma_n}$, the Verma module $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ goes to $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\Gamma_n} := \mathbb{C}[L]^{\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$ (the isotypic component).

We have $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\Gamma_n} = \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0})$ for a certain irreducible $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{X}, n\chi(\nu)_0)$-module $\mathcal{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0}$ with singular support over L. More precisely, there exists

a) a certain smooth locally closed subvariety $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0} \subset R(Q,\nu)$ whose projection to the representation space of the cyclic quiver Q (forgetting the component in V_0) lies in the cone of nilpotent representations;

b) a smooth twisted $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}$-module $\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ on the image $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0} \subset \mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0} \subset R(Q,\nu)$

such that the minimal extension of $\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ is $\mathcal{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0}$.

Since the number of G_ν-orbits in $R(Q,\nu)$ lying over the nilpotent cone is finite, we may and will choose $\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0} \subset R(Q,\nu)$ (and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0} \subset \mathcal{X}$) as a G_ν-orbit.

The conormal bundle $T^*_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0}} R(Q,\nu) \subset R(DQ,\nu)$ has a nonempty intersection with the stable locus $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^s$ of the zero level of the moment map, and this intersection projects to an open subvariety of a certain irreducible component in $\mathfrak{L}$. In effect, to prove the nonemptiness of the above intersection we consider a nonvanishing G_ν-semiinvariant section $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{IC}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0})$. Then its symbol $\mathrm{symb}(\sigma)$ is a nonvanishing G_ν-semiinvariant section of a coherent sheaf supported on $T^*_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0}} R(Q,\nu)$. The complement of the zero divisor of this section is a nonempty open subset of $T^*_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0}} R(Q,\nu)$ contained in $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^s$. Now the quotient of the nonempty open irreducible subset $T^*_{\mathcal{N}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\nu_0}} R(Q,\nu) \bigcap \mathsf{M}(W,V)^s$ by the *free* action of G_ν is necessarily a nonempty open subset of some component of $\mathfrak{L}$. In the conventions of section 2.1 this irreducible component can be written as $\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ for a unique multi-partition $\mu' \in \mathcal{P}(r,n)$.

We will prove in Proposition 2.5 that $\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \boldsymbol{\mu}$.

2.6. Comparison of orders.

Recall the Gordon adjacency order $\boldsymbol{\mu} \prec \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of Section 2.2.

Lemma 2.2. $\boldsymbol{\mu}' \preceq \boldsymbol{\lambda}' \;\Leftrightarrow\; \mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ *lies in the closure of* $\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

Proof. We denote the intersection of the conormal bundle $T^*_{\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} R(Q,\nu) \subset R(DQ,\nu)$ with the stable locus $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^s$ by $\mathcal{T}_\mu$. It is equipped with a free action of G_ν, and the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu := \mathcal{T}_\mu / G_\nu$ is an *open* subvariety of $\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$. The intersection of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu$ with the cell $\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'} \subset \mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ enjoys the following properties: a) it is open in $\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$; b) it is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{G}_m^h$; c) it contains the $\mathbb{G}_m^h$-fixed point $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$. We conclude that this intersection coincides with $\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$; in other words, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu \supset \mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$. On the other hand, the union of $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu$ over all r-partitions $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is a *disjoint* union, and is contained inside $\mathfrak{L} = \bigsqcup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. It means that $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_\mu = \mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$. Hence $\boldsymbol{\mu}' \preceq \boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ implies that $\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ lies in the closure of $\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

The converse implication does not follow immediately since the intersection of the closures of the conormal bundles to nilpotent orbits a priori could lie entirely in the unstable locus. Instead we argue as follows. Recall that $Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$ is a connected component of the fixed point set $(\mathrm{Hilb}^m(\mathbb{A}^2))^\Gamma$ where $m = v_0 + \ldots + v_{r-1}$. The cyclic group Γ acts on $V = V_0 \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{r-1}$, and on the vector space $\mathrm{End}(V)$, as well as on $V \oplus \mathrm{End}(V)$. The orbit $\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is a fixed point component lying over the nilpotent cone in $\mathrm{End}(V)$; more precisely, it lies over a nilpotent orbit $\mathbb{O}_\theta$ of Jordan type θ (a partition of m). Now θ is nothing but ${}^t\tau_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}')$ (notations of subsection 2.2). Evidently, if $\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ lies in the closure of $\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$, then $\mathbb{O}_{{}^t\tau_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}')}$ lies in the closure of $\mathbb{O}_{{}^t\tau_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}')}$, and hence ${}^t\tau_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}') \lhd {}^t\tau_{\mathbf{s}}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$, that is $\boldsymbol{\mu}' \preceq \boldsymbol{\lambda}'$.

It follows that the adjacency order on the orbits $\mathcal{N}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ goes to the adjacency order on the cells $\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ under the bijection $\boldsymbol{\mu} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\mu}'$. □

2.7. Localization of the family of Cherednik algebras.

The irreducible components of the Lagrangian subvariety $\mathfrak{L} \subset Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$ are parametrized by r-multipartitions of n in two ways. The first one, due to Gordon, was described in Section 2.2; the second one, as the "leading components" of the support of localization of Verma modules, was described in Section 2.5. In order to identify the two parametrizations we set $\mathsf{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, and recall the following construction.

We include the algebras $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\Gamma_n} \subset \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}} \rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$ into the $(r+1)$-parametric family of (spherical) Cherednik algebras $e\mathbf{H}e \subset \mathbf{H}$, see [13, 6.1]. The parameters are denoted by $\hbar, c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{r-1}$ (in *loc. cit.* c_0 is denoted k). The case of Weyl algebra corresponds to $\hbar = 1, c_0 = c_1 = \ldots = c_{r-1} = 0$. The (spherical) Verma module $\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ (resp. $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$) is included into the $(r+1)$-parametric family of $e\mathbf{H}e$ (resp. $\mathbf{H}$)-modules $\mathbf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ (resp. $\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$). If $\mathsf{k} = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$, there is a smooth family $\mathbf{Y}$ of algebraic varieties over the base $\mathbb{A}^{r+1}$ with coordinates $\hbar, c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{r-1}$, and the flat sheaves of algebras $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$ on $\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}$ with the following properties.

(a) The fiber $\mathbf{Y}_{(1,0,\ldots,0)}$ equals Y;

(b) The fiber $\mathbf{Y}_{(\hbar,\underline{c})}$ for general $(\underline{c})$ is the smooth affine cyclic Calogero-Moser space $\operatorname{Spec} Z_{\underline{c}^p-\hbar^{p-1}\underline{c}}$, see [9, 3.10], [7]; in particular, $\mathbf{Y}_{(0,\underline{c})}$ equals $\operatorname{Spec} Z_{\underline{c}^p}$, while $\mathbf{Y}_{(1,\underline{c})}$ equals $\operatorname{Spec} Z_{\underline{c}^p-\underline{c}}$.

(c) The fiber $\mathbf{A}_{(1,0,\ldots,0)}$ equals $\mathcal{A}_{\chi(\nu)}$, the fiber $\mathbf{B}_{(1,0,\ldots,0)}$ is an Azumaya algebra $\mathcal{B}_{\chi(\nu)}$ such that $\Gamma(Y^{(1)},\mathcal{B}_{\chi(\nu)})\cong\mathsf{W}_\mathsf{k}\rtimes\mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$;

(d) The fiber $\mathbf{A}_{(0,\underline{c})}$ for general $(\underline{c})$ equals $\operatorname{Fr}_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}_{(0,\underline{c})}}$;

(e) $\Gamma(\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}_{(\hbar,\underline{c})},\mathbf{A}_{(\hbar,\underline{c})})=e\mathbf{H}_{(\hbar,\underline{c})}e$, and $\Gamma(\mathbf{Y}^{(1)}_{(\hbar,\underline{c})},\mathbf{B}_{(\hbar,\underline{c})})=\mathbf{H}_{(\hbar,\underline{c})}$.

The construction of $\mathbf{Y},\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}$ is similar to the one in [4] for $r=1$. The property (b) follows from [14, Theorem 1.1].

Now the module $\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\Gamma_n}$ localizes to the $\mathbf{A}$-module ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\Gamma_n}$, and the module $\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ localizes to the $\mathbf{B}$-module ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathbf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$.

2.8. **Supports.** Now we are ready to identify the two parametrizations of the set of irreducible components of the Lagrangian subvariety $\mathfrak{L}\subset Y_{\Gamma,\nu}$. The sheaf $\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ is defined and flat over a finitely generated subring $R\subset\mathbb{C}$. For a closed geometric point $\operatorname{Spec}\mathsf{k}=\operatorname{Spec}\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ of $\operatorname{Spec}R$ we consider the localization of the fiber $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}})$.

Lemma 2.3. *If a Lagrangian component $\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ lies in the support of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mathsf{k}})$, then $\boldsymbol{\mu}'\preceq\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$.*

Proof. The support of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}})$ is the projection to $\mathfrak{M}(W,V)^{(1)}$ of the intersection of the stable locus and the p-support of $\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}$. This p-support is conical, i.e. it is invariant under the dilation action of the multiplicative group on the cotangent bundle; it is easy to deduce that it coincides with the singular support. The singular support $SS\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}$ is a fiber of a flat family over an open subscheme of $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{Z}$ with the generic fiber $SS\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, and it remains to use Lemma 2.2. □

Lemma 2.4. *If a Lagrangian component $\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}'}$ lies in the support of ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mathsf{k}}$, then $\boldsymbol{\mu}'\preceq\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$.*

Proof. We have to check that the support of ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mathsf{k}}$ lies in the support of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}})$. We will prove that the two supports coincide. In view of Lemma 2.3 it would suffice to know $R\Gamma(Y^{(1)},\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}))\simeq\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mathsf{k}}$. Unfortunately, we cannot construct such an isomorphism. We have a natural map $\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\mathsf{k}}\to R\Gamma(Y^{(1)},\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}))$ but it need not be an isomorphism since the spaces of $G_\nu^{(1)}$-invariant sections on $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1)}$ and $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1),s}$ might not coincide.

However, for a positive integer $N\gg0$ the spaces of $N\chi(\nu)$-semiinvariant sections on $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1)}$ and $\mathsf{M}(W,V)^{(1),s}$ coincide by definition. The former one is $\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}\otimes\mathcal{L}(N\chi(\nu)))$ (a $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{k}(\mathcal{X},n\chi(\nu)_0)$-module twisted by a line bundle), and the latter one is $\Gamma(Y^{(1)},\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}\otimes\mathcal{L}(N\chi(\nu)))$.

Now the supports of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}})$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}\otimes\mathcal{L}(N\chi(\nu)))$ clearly coincide. Furthermore, $\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{IC}^{\nu_0}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},\mathsf{k}}\otimes\mathcal{L}(N\chi(\nu)))=\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(1,\underline{c})}$ (the spherical Verma module over the spherical Cherednik algebra $eH_{(1,\underline{c})}e$ with positive integer parameters).

In effect, recall the shift functor $S^{(1,\underline{c})}_{(1,0,\ldots,0)}$ of [2] from $\mathsf{W}^{\Gamma_n}_\mathsf{k}-\mathrm{Mod}=eH_{(1,0,\ldots,0)}e-\mathrm{Mod}$ to $eH_{(1,\underline{c})}e-\mathrm{Mod}$. Using the Morita equivalence between the Cherednik algebra and the spherical Cherednik algebra, the shift functor is realized by a certain $H_{(1,0,\dots,0)} - H_{(1,\underline{c})}$-bimodule. This bimodule is the tensor product of such bimodules for certain "neighbouring" pairs of integral parameters $\underline{c}, \underline{c}'$. And for such a neighbouring pair, the corresponding bimodule is defined via an isomorphism $eH_{(1,\underline{c})}e \simeq \epsilon H_{(1,\underline{c}')}\epsilon$ where ϵ is a certain antisymmetrizer. According to [2], $S^{(1,\underline{c})}_{(1,0,\dots,0)}$ takes the spherical Verma modules to the spherical Verma modules.

According to Theorem 4.1 in Appendix 2 (Section 4) this shift functor is obtained by Hamiltonian reduction from tensoring with the line bundle, that is $S^{(1,\underline{c})}_{(1,0,\dots,0)}\mathbb{H}(\mathcal{M}) \simeq \mathbb{H}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{L}(N\chi(\nu)))$ for any $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{X}, n\chi(\nu)_0)$-module $\mathcal{M}$. (More precisely, Theorem 4.1 asserts the similar statement over a base field of characteristic zero; however, two finitely generated modules over a Noetherian ring over a commutative ring of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ which are isomorphic after base change to a characteristic zero field are also isomorphic after base change to an algebraically closed field of characteristic p for almost all $p > 0$, thus the statement also holds in the present setting).

Finally, the supports of ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(1,\underline{c})}$ and ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ coincide, and we are done. □

Proposition 2.5. $\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \boldsymbol{\mu}$.

Proof. We need to check that the support $\operatorname{supp}({}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}^{\Gamma_n}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \operatorname{supp}({}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ consists of $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and some $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \prec \boldsymbol{\mu}$.

To this end we study the support of the fiber ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(\hbar,\underline{c})}$ in $\operatorname{Spec} Z^{(1)}_{\underline{c}^p - \hbar^{p-1}\underline{c}}$ for general $(\underline{c})$. The hyperbolic $\mathbb{G}^h_m$ of Section 2.2 acts on $\mathbf{Y}$, and the $\mathbb{G}^h_m$-fixed points in a general fiber are uniformly numbered by the set of r-partitions of n, according to [9, 3.8–3.10]. As in Section 2.2, we define $\mathfrak{L}^{\circ}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\underline{c}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} Z_{\underline{c}}$ as the attracting set of the corresponding $\mathbb{G}^h_m$-fixed point. Contrary to the situation of Section 2.2, for a general $(\underline{c})$, the Lagrangian subvariety $\mathfrak{L}^{\circ}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\underline{c}} \subset \operatorname{Spec} Z_{\underline{c}}$ is closed, i.e. equals its closure $\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},\underline{c}}$.

The desired equality $\operatorname{supp}({}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \cup \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \prec \boldsymbol{\mu}} \mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ follows from the next

Lemma 2.6. *For a general* $(\underline{c})$, *the support* $\operatorname{supp}({}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(\hbar,\underline{c})})$ *equals* $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(\underline{c}^p - \hbar^{p-1}\underline{c})}$.

Proof. As the Verma module $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(\hbar,\underline{c})}$ is indecomposable, the support $\operatorname{supp}({}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(\hbar,\underline{c})})$ must lie at exactly one component $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda},(\underline{c}^p - \hbar^{p-1}\underline{c})}$, and we must prove that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$. It follows that $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(\hbar,\underline{c})}$ possesses a unique $\mathbb{G}^h_m$-equivariant irreducible quotient, supported at the point $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ of the spectrum of the p-center of the Cherednik algebra $\mathbf{H}_{\hbar,k,\underline{c}}$. On the other hand, $\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu},(0,\underline{c})}$ surjects onto the baby Verma module $M(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ of [7, 4.1]. It follows that $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\mu}$. This completes the proof of the lemma along with the proof of the proposition. □

2.9. **Procesi bundle.** Under the equivalence Φ_{k} of (2.1) the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{U^{(1)}} \rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n] \in D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m \times \Gamma_n}(U^{(1)})$ goes to $\mathcal{E} \in D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}}_{m}(Y^{(1)})$, to be called the *Procesi vector bundle*. It splits into the direct sum $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus \mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \otimes \rho^*_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ over the set of r-partitions of n numbering the irreducible $\mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$-representations; under the above equivalence $\mathcal{E}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ corresponds to $\mathcal{O}_{U^{(1)}} \otimes \rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \in D^b\mathrm{Coh}^{\mathbb{G}_m \times \Gamma_n}(U^{(1)})$.

Recall that for an irreducible $\mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$-module $\rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ the coherent sheaf $\Phi_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{O}_{L^{(1)}} \otimes \rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$.

We denote by ${}'L \subset U$ the Γ_n-invariant Lagrangian complement to L in U. Repeating the definitions of Section 2.2 with L replaced by ${}'L$ we obtain the Lagrangian components ${}'\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \subset Y$ numbered by the r-partitions of n. Note that the adjacency order on these components is the inverse of the order in *loc. cit.*: ${}'\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \cap {}'\mathfrak{L}^\circ_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \neq \emptyset$ iff $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \preceq \boldsymbol{\mu}$.

We define the opposite Verma modules over $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}} \rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$ as ${}'\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := \mathsf{k}[\,{}'L] \otimes \rho_{{}^t\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. Under the Morita equivalence they correspond to the $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}^{\Gamma_n}$-modules ${}'\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^{\Gamma_n} := \mathsf{k}[\,{}'L]^{\rho_{{}^t\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$. We have $\operatorname{Ext}^\bullet(\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\ {}'\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = 0$ iff $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \neq \boldsymbol{\mu}$, and $\operatorname{Ext}^n(\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\ {}'\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \simeq \mathsf{k}$, since $\operatorname{Ext}^\bullet_{k[U]}(\mathsf{k}[L], \mathsf{k}[\,{}'L])$ is a one-dimensional k-vector space in degree n, and Γ_n acts on it via the sign representation $\det L$, and $\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \otimes \det L \simeq \rho_{{}^t\boldsymbol{\mu}}$.

Also, we define the sheaf ${}'\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} := \Phi_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{O}_{{}'L^{(1)}} \otimes \rho_{{}^t\boldsymbol{\mu}})$.

Proposition 2.7. *If the Lagrangian component* $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ *lies in the support of* $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$*, then* $\boldsymbol{\mu} \preceq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$*. If the Lagrangian component* ${}'\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ *lies in the support of* ${}'\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$*, then* $\boldsymbol{\mu} \succeq \boldsymbol{\lambda}$*.*

Proof. As we already argued in Section 2.8, by the virtue of Proposition 2.5, the support of the localized Verma module ${}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ consists of $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ and some smaller Lagrangian components $\mathfrak{L}^{(1)}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \prec \boldsymbol{\lambda}$. Thus it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \operatorname{supp}(\,{}^{\mathrm{loc}}\mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$. To this end note that the restriction of the Azumaya algebra $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}}|_{L^{(1)}}$ splits Γ_n-equivariantly, and equals $\operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Fr}_* \mathcal{O}_L)$. Looking at the definition of the equivalence Φ_{k} in (2.1), we see that $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ equals the support of the localization of the $\mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{k}} \rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]$-module $\mathsf{k}[L] \otimes \rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = \mathsf{V}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. The second part is proved similarly. $\square$

2.10. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Note that the composed projection $Y_{\Gamma,\nu} \to \mathbb{A}^{2n}/\Gamma_n \to \mathbb{A}^n/\Gamma_n$ is flat since all the fibers are of the same dimension n. It follows that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}'_{\rho_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}} \cong {}'\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$; the required properties of $\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$, ${}'\mathcal{F}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$ have been established in the previous Proposition. $\square$

2.11. **Wreath Macdonald polynomials.** The equivalence Φ_{k} extends to the same named equivalence $D^b\mathrm{Coh}^T(U^{(1)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b\mathrm{Coh}^T(Y^{(1)})$ where T is the 2-dimensional torus with coordinates (q,t) acting on $\mathbb{A}^2$ such that $\mathbb{G}_m$ is the diagonal subtorus $\mathbb{G}_m = \{(q,q)\}$, while $\mathbb{G}_m^h$ is the antidiagonal subtorus $\{(q,q^{-1})\}$. We keep the same name for the induced isomorphism of the K-groups of the categories in question. We identify the equivariant K-group $K^T(pt)$ with $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}]$. We denote by $\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} :\ K^T(Y^{(1)}) \to K^T(pt)$ the fiber at the torus fixed point $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in Y^{(1)}$.

Note that $\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathcal{E}$ carries an action of Γ_n since $\mathcal{E}$ carries a fiberwise action of Γ_n by construction. Thus $[\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\mathcal{E}] \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}][K(\Gamma_n)]$.

Corollary 2.8. $\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}[\mathcal{E}] \otimes \sum_i (-q)^i [\Lambda^i(\,{}'L^{(1)})] \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}][\rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\ {}^t\boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq \boldsymbol{\mu}]$*; also,* $\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}[\mathcal{E}] \otimes \sum_i (-t)^i [\Lambda^i(L^{(1)})] \in \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1},t^{\pm1}][\rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}},\ {}^t\boldsymbol{\lambda} \succeq\ {}^t\boldsymbol{\mu}]$*.*

Proof (cf. [11, Proof of Proposition 5.4.2]). The Koszul resolution shows that $[\mathcal{O}_{{}'L^{(1)}}] = [\mathcal{O}_{U^{(1)}}] \otimes \sum_i (-t)^i [\Lambda^i(L^{(1)})]$. We have $\operatorname{Tor}^{\mathcal{O}_{L^{(1)}}}(\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathcal{E}), \mathsf{k}) = \operatorname{Tor}^{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(1)}}}(\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{{}'L^{(1)}}), \mathsf{k})$. By the local duality, the second statement of the corollary is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_{U^{(1)}}\rtimes \mathsf{k}[\Gamma_n]}(\mathcal{O}_{'L^{(1)}}\otimes\rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}, \iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathcal{E}))=0$ unless $\boldsymbol{\lambda}\succeq {}^t\boldsymbol{\mu} \Leftrightarrow {}^t\boldsymbol{\lambda}\preceq\boldsymbol{\mu}$. Since $\Phi_{\mathsf{k}}(\iota^*_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathcal{E}))$ is the skyscraper sheaf at the point $\boldsymbol{\mu}\in Y$, the latter Ext-vanishing follows from $\operatorname{supp}\Phi_{\mathsf{k}}(\mathcal{O}_{'L^{(1)}}\otimes\rho_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})\subset\bigcup_{\boldsymbol{\mu}\succeq {}^t\boldsymbol{\lambda}} {}'\mathfrak{L}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$.

The first statement of the corollary is proved similarly. □

3. Appendix 1: Equivariant structure on rigid vector bundles

by Vadim Vologodsky

3.1. **Rigid vector bundles.** Let $\mathbb{T}=(\mathbb{G}_m)^d$ be a d-dimensional torus. We begin with the following result.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a proper (not necessarily smooth) scheme over an algebraicaly closed field k, E a vector bundle over X such that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E;E)=0$. Assume that X is endowed with a $\mathbb{T}$-action $m:\mathbb{T}\times X\to X$. Then the action of $\mathbb{T}$ lifts to E, i.e. E has a $\mathbb{T}$-equivariant structure.*

Proof. First, we claim that E is $\mathbb{T}$-invariant, that is $m^*E\simeq p_2^*E$ locally with respect to $\mathbb{T}$.

Indeed, since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E;E)=0$, the claim is true if we replace $\mathbb{T}$ by its formal completion at 1. Now, let us consider the vector bundle $F=\mathrm{Hom}(m^*E,p_2^*E)$. Since X is proper, $p_{1*}F$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{T}$. Theorem on Formal Functions implies that the formal completion of $p_{1*}F$ at 1 is a vector bundle. Therefore $p_{1*}F$ is a vector bundle over some open neighborhood U of 1 in $\mathbb{T}$. Further, shrinking U, we may assume that there exists a section s of $p_{1*}F$ over U which is equal to $\mathrm{Id}\in(p_{1*}F)_1$ at the point $1\in\mathbb{T}$. It implies that $m^*E\simeq p_2^*E$ over some open neighborhood of 1. Since the subgroup of $\mathbb{T}$ generated by an open neighborhood of 1 coincides with $\mathbb{T}$, the claim follows.

We conclude that there exists an extension of affine algebraic groups

$$1\to\mathrm{Aut}(E)\to G\to\mathbb{T}\to 1$$

such that the action of G on X (through $\mathbb{T}$) lifts to E.

Note that the group $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ is smooth and connected (since it is an open subscheme of the affine space $End(E)$). Hence the lemma follows from the next one.

Lemma 3.2. *For a smooth connected group H, any extension*

$$1\to H\to G\xrightarrow{h}\mathbb{T}\to 1$$

splits in the weak sense, i.e. there exists a homomorphism $i:\mathbb{T}\to G$ such that $h\circ i=\mathrm{Id}$.

Proof The result is well known. We include the argument for the readers' convenience.

Any affine algebraic group is a semidirect product of a reductive group and a unipotent one. Therefore it is enough to prove the lemma in the following two cases.

1) H is a unipotent group.

In this case the lemma follows from the structural result cited above: if $G=U\times\mathbb{T}$ is the semidirect factorization, the morphism h factors through the second factor and, since the kernel of h is connected, there exists a splitting.

2) H is a reductive group.

In this case, $\mathrm{rk}(G)=\mathrm{rk}(H)+1$. Therefore, the intersection of a maximal torus $T\subset G$ with H is a maximal torus in H, and, in particular, the intersection is connected. Thus, the lemma reduces to the obvious case when G is a torus.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{Y}$ be a proper morphism. Let $\hat{y}$ be the formal neighborhood of $y\in\mathcal{Y}$, and let $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}$ be the preimage of $\hat{y}$ in $\mathcal{X}$. Let E be a vector bundle on $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}$ such that $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E,E)=0$. If $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}$ is endowed with a $\mathbb{T}$-action $m:\ \mathbb{T}\times\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}\to\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}$, then this action can be lifted to E.*

Proof Let $y_l\subset\mathcal{Y}$ stand for the l-th infinitesimal neighborhood of y in $\mathcal{Y}$. Let $\mathcal{X}_l$ stand for the preimage of y_l in $\mathcal{X}$. We consider the projective system of algebraic groups $H_l=\mathrm{Aut}(E_l)$ where $E_l=E|_{\mathcal{X}_l}$. Since $End_{\mathsf{k}}(E_l)$ is a finite dimensional k-vector space, the projective system above satisfies the Mittag-Leffler condition, i.e. for any integer n there exists an integer N such that

$$\mathrm{Im}(H_k\to H_n)=\mathrm{Im}(H_N\to H_n),\quad \forall k>N.$$

If we denote by $\hat{H}$ the inverse limit of the projective system, we have $\hat{H}=\mathrm{Aut}(E)$. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we construct an extension of proalgebraic groups

$$1\to\hat{H}\to G\to\mathbb{T}\to 1$$

acting on E. The Mittag-Leffler condition ensures that this extension splits in the weak sense.

We keep the notation and assumptions of Proposition 3.3. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\hat{y}}$ stand for $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}\times\mathbb{A}^1$. Let $\widetilde{E}$ be a vector bundle on $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\hat{y}}$ such that $\widetilde{E}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}}=E$. Assume in addition that $\widetilde{E}$ is equivariant with respect to a *new* $\mathbb{T}$-action $h:\ \mathbb{T}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\hat{y}}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\hat{y}}$, trivial along $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}$ and standard along $\mathbb{A}^1$. We will say that $\widetilde{E}$ is h-equivariant, as opposed to the m-equivariance of Proposition 3.3 (the $\mathbb{T}$-action $m:\ \mathbb{T}\times\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\hat{y}}\to\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}_{\hat{y}}$ is the old one along $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{y}}$ and trivial along $\mathbb{A}^1$). Then automatically we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\widetilde{E},\widetilde{E})=0$.

Proposition 3.4. *The m-equivariant structure on E (of Proposition 3.3) can be extended to an m-equivariant structure on $\widetilde{E}$.*

The proof is similar to the one of 3.3.

4. Appendix 2: On shift functors for cyclotomic Cherednik algebras

by Ivan Losev

The goal of this appendix is to relate the shift functors of Berest and Chalykh, [2], to some geometrically defined shift functors. To define the new functors we will use the formalism from [13].

Namely, let Y be a symplectic resolution of $\mathbb{A}^{2n}/\Gamma_n$ obtained via Hamiltonian reduction as follows. Let V be the representation space of the double Calogero-Moser quiver of Γ with dimension vector $n\delta+\epsilon_0$. Consider the group $G:=\mathrm{GL}(n\delta)$ it acts on V by linear symplectomorphisms. Let μ denote the standard moment map for this action. We choose a general character θ of G, let V^{ss} be the corresponding semistable (and automatically stable, since θ is generic) locus. We set $Y:=(\mu^{-1}(0)\cap V^{ss})/G$.

Pick an element χ in the character lattice $\mathfrak{X}$ of G and let $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ be the corresponding line bundle on Y. This line bundle can also be obtained by "Hamiltonian reduction": we take the trivial line bundle on V, twist the G-action there by χ and for $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ take the induced bundle on the quotient $(\mu^{-1}(0)\cap V^{ss})/G$.

Pick an element $p \in \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{X} := \mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{X} = H^2(Y,\mathbb{C})$. To this element we can assign a (formal) quantization $\mathcal{D}^p_\hbar$ of Y in a canonical way. One can realize $\mathcal{D}_p$ as a quantum Hamiltonian reduction. Namely, consider the formal Weyl algebra $\mathbb{W}_\hbar$, a quantization of $\mathbb{C}[V]$. The action of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ gives rise to the canonical (symmetrized) quantum comoment map $\hat{\mu}^* : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{W}_\hbar$. We can sheafify $\mathbb{W}_\hbar$ on V and, in particular, consider the restriction $\mathbb{W}_\hbar|_{V^{ss}}$. Then we can consider the sheaf

$$(\mathbb{W}_\hbar|_{V^{ss}}/\mathbb{W}_\hbar|_{V^{ss}}\{\xi - \hbar\langle p,\xi\rangle, \xi\in\mathfrak{g}\})^G$$

on Y. This is the quantization $\mathcal{D}^p_\hbar$ we need.

We can form a sheaf $\mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$ of $\mathcal{D}^{p+\chi}_\hbar$-$\mathcal{D}^p_\hbar$-bimodules quantizing $\mathcal{O}^\chi$. This sheaf is given by

$$\mathcal{D}^{\chi,p}_\hbar := (\mathbb{W}_\hbar|_{V^{ss}}/\mathbb{W}_\hbar|_{V^{ss}}\{\xi - \hbar\langle p,\xi\rangle, \xi\in\mathfrak{g}\})^{G,\chi},$$

where the superscript "G,χ" means taking χ-semiinvariants with respect to the G-action.

The sheafs $\mathcal{D}^p_\hbar, \mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$ come equipped with a two-dimensional torus action. Namely, V can be realized as $V_0\oplus V_0^*$, where V_0 is the representation space of the ordinary Calogero-Moser quiver. Define an action of $T_1\times T_2 := (\mathbb{C}^\times)^2$ on V by $(t_1,t_2).(v,v^*) = (t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1}v, t_1t_2^{-1}v^*), t_1\in T_1, t_2\in T_2, v\in V_0, v^*\in V_0^*$. The $T_1\times T_2$-action on V descends to $Y, \mathcal{O}^\chi, \mathcal{D}_p, \mathcal{D}_p^\chi$ (we assume that $t_1.\hbar=\hbar, t_2.\hbar = t_2^2\hbar$).

Let $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar, \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$ stand for the subspace of $T_1\times T_2$-finite elements in $\Gamma(Y,\mathcal{D}^p_\hbar), \Gamma(Y,\mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar)$. Set $\mathcal{A}^p := \mathcal{A}^p_\hbar/(\hbar-1), \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi} := \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar/(\hbar-1)$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$ is an $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}_\hbar$-$\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar$-bimodule, while $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ is an $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodule.

In fact, the algebra $\mathcal{A}^p$ is isomorphic to $eH_{c(p)}e$, where the map $p\mapsto c(p)$ was explicitly described in [13]. Our goal is to describe the bimodules $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ in the case when $c(p)$ is integral (which is not the same as p is integral!). For a certain basis $\chi_0,\ldots,\chi_\ell$ we have Heckman-Opdam shift $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi_i}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodules $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi_i}$ introduced in [2]. Then we can form a $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodule $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ by tensoring the Heckman-Opdam bimodules.

Theorem 4.1. *$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}\cong\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ provided $c(p)$ is integral, $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ is generated by its global sections, and $H^i(Y,\mathcal{O}^\chi)=0$ for $i>0$.*

Of course, $H^i(Y,\mathcal{O}^\chi)=0$ provided χ is sufficiently dominant.

The proof is basically in two steps. We will first characterize $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ using two properties: that it is a Harish-Chandra bimodule and that its localization with respect to δ is a trivial $\mathcal{A}^p[\delta^{-1}]$-bimodule. Then we will check that $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ also has these properties.

4.1. Properties of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$.

First, let us recall definitions of a Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodule given in [1].

Fix two parameters c,c' for the Cherednik algebra. We have embeddings $(S\mathfrak{h})^W, (S\mathfrak{h}^*)^W \hookrightarrow H_?$. A finitely generated H_c-$H_{c'}$-bimodule M is said to be *Harish-Chandra* if the operators $[a,\cdot],[b,\cdot]$ on M are locally nilpotent for all

$a \in (S\mathfrak{h})^W, b \in (S\mathfrak{h}^*)^W$. Similarly, we can define a Harish-Chandra eH_ce-$eH_{c'}e$-bimodule. In fact, a eH_ce-$eH_{c'}e$-bimodule N is Harish-Chandra if and only if the H_c-$H_{c'}$-bimodule $H_ce \otimes_{eH_ce} N \otimes_{eH_{c'}e} eH_{c'}$ is.

To check that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ is Harish-Chandra one can argue as follows. It is easy to see that the tensor product of two Harish-Chandra bimodules is again Harish-Chandra. So it is enough to check that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi_i}$ is Harish-Chandra, where χ_i is as above. Under the identifications $\mathcal{A}^p \cong eH_{c(p)}e$, by definition, the bimodule $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi_i}$ has the form e_iH_ce, where e_i is an appropriate idempotent in $\mathbb{C}W$, and $e_iH_{c(p)}e_i$ is identified with $eH_{c(p+\chi_i)}e$ as explained in [2]. The identifications $e_iH_{c(p)}e_i \cong eH_{c(p+\chi_i)}e$ intertwine the embeddings of the subalgebras $(S\mathfrak{h})^W, (S\mathfrak{h}^*)^W$, as can be seen in the construction from [2]. From here it is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi_i}$ is a Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodule.

Another property of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ we will need concerns the behavior of a certain localization of this module. Namely, let $\delta \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W = (S\mathfrak{h}^*)^W$ be a polynomial whose subvariety of zeroes in $\mathfrak{h}$ is precisely the ramification locus of the quotient morphism $\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}/W$. Then we can consider the localization $H_c[\delta^{-1}]$. It turns out that this localization is naturally identified with $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})\#W$. Under this identification the localizations $eH_ce[\delta^{-1}], e_iH_ce_i[\delta^{-1}]$ get identified with $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$ and the identification $e_iH_{c(p)}e_i[\delta^{-1}] \cong eH_{c(p+\chi_i)}e$ becomes the identity. The localized bimodule $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ is isomorphic to $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$ as a $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$-bimodule.

In fact, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ is a unique $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodule with these properties assuming $c(p)$ is integral. Here is a formal statement.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $c(p)$ is integral. Then any Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodule N such that $N[\delta^{-1}] \cong D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$.*

Proof As was shown in [2], the bimodule $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,\chi}$ is invertible, the inverse bimodule is given by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p+\chi,-\chi}$. Set $p_0 := c^{-1}(0), \chi_0 := c^{-1}(p)$. Consider the bimodule $N_0 := \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p+\chi,-\chi-\chi_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}} N \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^p} \mathcal{A}^{p_0,\chi_0}$. It is a Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{A}^{p_0}$-bimodule. The three factors are isomorphic to $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$ after the localization with respect to δ. It follows that $N_0[\delta^{-1}] \cong D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$. But the algebra $\mathcal{A}^{p_0}$ is nothing else but $D(\mathfrak{h})^W$. It is Morita equivalent to $D(\mathfrak{h})\#W$ and the Morita equivalence preserves Harish-Chandra bimodules. It is easy to see that all Harish-Chandra $D(\mathfrak{h})\#W$-bimodules have the form $D(\mathfrak{h})\#W \otimes E$, where E is a W-module, compare with [1]. The localization of such a bimodule is isomorphic to $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$ if and only if E is the trivial one-dimensional module. We deduce that $N_0 \cong \mathcal{A}^{p_0}$. It follows that $N = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p_0,\chi+\chi_0} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}^{p_0}} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{p,-\chi_0}$. Our claim follows.

4.2. **Properties of $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$.** First, let us check that $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ is a Harish-Chandra bimodule $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}$-$\mathcal{A}^p$-bimodule. Recall that $\mathcal{A}^p = \mathcal{A}^p_\hbar/(\hbar-1), \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi} = \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar/(\hbar-1)$ and $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar$ is the subalgebra of T_2-finite elements in $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{D}^p_\hbar)$, while $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$ is the subspace of T_2-finite elements in $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar)$. So $\mathcal{A}^p, \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ come equipped with T_1-actions (induced from the T_1-actions on $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar, \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$) and also with filtrations $\mathrm{F}_{\leqslant i}\,\mathcal{A}^p, \mathrm{F}_{\leqslant i}\,\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ (induced from the T_2-actions on $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar, \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$). We can almost recover $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar, \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$ from the filtrations on $\mathcal{A}^p, \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$. Namely, consider the Rees algebra $\mathcal{A}^p_h := \bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} \mathrm{F}_{\leqslant i}\,\mathcal{A}^p h^i$. Then we have a natural action of T_2 on $\mathcal{A}^p_h$ with h being of degree 1. It is easy to see that there is a natural isomorphism $\mathcal{A}^p_h = \mathcal{A}^p_\hbar[h]/(\hbar - h^2)$. Similarly, we can form the $\mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}_h$-$\mathcal{A}^p_h$-bimodule $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_h$ with $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_h = \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar[h]/(\hbar^2 - h)$.

Now let a, a' be elements of $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar, \mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}_\hbar$ whose images in $\mathbb{C}[Y] = \mathcal{A}^p_\hbar/(\hbar) = \mathcal{A}^{p+\chi}_\hbar/(\hbar)$ coincide. Then for any local section m of $\mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$ the element $a'm - ma$ is a local section of $\hbar\mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$. This is because the left and the right actions of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ on $\mathcal{O}^\chi_Y$ coincide. It follows that $a'm - ma \in \hbar\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_h$ for any element $m \in \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_h$. So $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ is a Harish-Chandra bimodule in the sense of [12] (only the definition for the whole Cherednik algebras appeared in that paper explicitly but for the spherical subalgebra the definition is even easier). Following the proof of [12, Proposition 5.4.1] verbatim, we see that $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}$ is a Harish-Chandra bimodule in the sense of [1].

Let us now show that $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}[\delta^{-1}]$ is a isomorphic to $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$ as a $D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg})^W$-bimodule. The proof is in several steps.

Step 1. We have a natural morphism $Y \to \mathfrak{h}/W$. The inverse image Y^{reg} of $\mathfrak{h}^{reg}/W$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{h}^{reg} \times \mathfrak{h}^*)/W$. We claim that $\mathrm{Pic}(Y^{reg})$ is trivial or equivalently, that Y^{reg} is factorial. Indeed, $\mathfrak{h}/W$ is isomorphic to a vector space and hence is a factorial variety. Being a principal open subset in a factorial variety, $\mathfrak{h}^{reg}/W$ is factorial as well. Finally, the morphism $Y^{reg} \to \mathfrak{h}^{reg}/W$ is a vector bundle. So Y^{reg} is factorial as well. Therefore $\mathcal{O}^\chi|_{Y^{reg}}$ is a trivial line bundle.

Step 2. Consider the restriction $\mathcal{D}^p_\hbar|_{Y^{reg}}$. Since Y^{reg} is affine, this restriction is a sheafification of $\Gamma(Y^{reg}, \mathcal{D}^p_\hbar)$. The latter algebra is the $\hbar$-adic completion of $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{D}^p_\hbar)[\delta^{-1}]$, and hence also the $\hbar$-adic completion of $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$. Since $\mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar/(\hbar) \cong \mathcal{O}^\chi$, $\mathcal{O}^\chi$ is generated by its global sections and its higher cohomology vanish, we have $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar)/(\hbar) = \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}^\chi)$. From here it follows that $\Gamma(Y^{reg}, \mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar)$ is the $\hbar$-adic completion of $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar)[\delta^{-1}]$ or, equivalently, of $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$. Since $\Gamma(Y^{reg}, \mathcal{D}^{p,\chi}_\hbar)/(\hbar) \cong \Gamma(Y^{reg}, \mathcal{D}^p_\hbar)/(\hbar)$, we see that the $\hbar$-adic completion of $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to the $\hbar$-adic completion of $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$ (as a bimodule over the latter algebra). This isomorphism can be made $T_1 \times T_2$-equivariant.

Step 3. We isomorphism claim of the previous step implies that $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$ as a bimodule. To show this consider the embedding $\mathbb{C}^\times \hookrightarrow T_1 \times T_2$ given by $t \mapsto (t^{-1}, t)$ and the corresponding actions of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar, \mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar$. The action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar$ fixes $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}/W]$ pointwise and we have $t.\hbar = t\hbar$ for $t \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. From here it follows that $\mathcal{A}^p_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$ is the subspace of $\mathbb{C}^\times$-finite vectors in its $\hbar$-adic completion. Therefore the same holds for $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$ (because the isomorphism of the previous step is $\mathbb{C}^\times$-equivariant). So $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}_\hbar[\delta^{-1}] \cong \mathcal{A}^p_\hbar[\delta^{-1}]$. Taking the quotients by $\hbar - 1$, we get $\mathcal{A}^{p,\chi}[\delta^{-1}] \cong \mathcal{A}^p[\delta^{-1}] = D(\mathfrak{h}^{reg}/W)$ which completes the proof of the main theorem.

References

[1] Yu. Berest, P. Etingof, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and differential operators on quasi-invariants*, Duke Math. J. **118** (2003), 279–337.

[2] Y. Berest and O. Chalykh, *Quasi-invariants of complex reflection groups*, Comp. Math. **147** (2011), 965–1002.

[3] R. Bezrukavnikov, D. Kaledin, *McKay equivalence for symplectic resolutions of quotient singularities*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **246**, no. 3 (2004), 13–33.

[4] R. Bezrukavnikov, M. Finkelberg, V. Ginzburg, *Cherednik algebras and Hilbert schemes in characteristic p*, Representation Theory **10** (2006), 245–298.

[5] P. Etingof, W. L. Gan, V. Ginzburg, A. Oblomkov, *Harish-Chandra homomorphisms and symplectic reflection algebras for wreath-products*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **105** (2007), 91–155.

[6] W. L. Gan, V. Ginzburg, *Almost-commuting variety, $\mathcal{D}$-modules, and Cherednik algebras*, with an appendix by Ginzburg. Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP **2006**, 26439, 1–54.

[7] I. Gordon, *Baby Verma modules for rational Cherednik algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **35** (2003), 321–336.

[8] I. Gordon, *A remark on rational Cherednik algebras and differential operators on the cyclic quiver*, Glasg. Math. J. **48** (2006), 145–160.

[9] I. Gordon, *Quiver varieties, category $\mathcal{O}$ for rational Cherednik algebras, and Hecke algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Pap. IMRP **2008**, no. 3, Art. ID rpn006, 69 pp.

[10] M. Haiman, *Hilbert schemes, polygraphs and the Macdonald positivity conjecture,* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 4, 9411006.

[11] M. Haiman, *Combinatorics, symmetric functions, and Hilbert schemes*, in: Current developments in mathematics, 2002, Int. Press, Somerville, MA (2003), 39–111.

[12] I. Losev, *Completions of symplectic reflection algebras*, Selecta Math., **18** (2012), no. 1, 179–251.

[13] I. Losev, *Isomorphisms of Quantizations via Quantization of Resolutions*, Advances in Mathematics **231** (2012), pp. 1216-1270.

[14] R. Travkin, *Quantum geometric Langlands correspondence in positive characteristic: the GL_N case*, arXiv/1110.5707.

R.B.: Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
Cambridge MA 02139, USA;
`bezrukav@math.mit.edu`

M.F.: IMU, IITP, and National Research University Higher School of Economics,
Department of Mathematics, 20 Myasnitskaya st, Moscow 101000 Russia;
`fnklberg@gmail.com`

I.L.: Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Boston MA 02115, USA;
`i.loseu@neu.edu`

V.V.: Department of Mathematics, Oregon University, Eugene OR 97403, USA;
`vvologod@uoregon.edu`